

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/897,111		07/03/2001	Yoshihisa Inoue	1155-0221P	9238	
2292	7590	02/18/2005		EXAMINER		
		KOLASCH & BIF	LU, C CAIXIA			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH	-	A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				1713		
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/897,111	INOUE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Caixia Lu	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 9-14 is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-8 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/897,111

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (US 6,506,861).

The instant claims are directed to a process for preparation of a polar olefin copolymer comprising copolymerizing a non-polar olefin and a polar olefin in the presence of a transition metal catalyst of formula $L_m M X_n$ wherein \underline{m} is an integer of 2-6 and a cocatalyst, wherein the difference between the coordination energies of ethylene and methyl acrylate (ΔE) is 50 kJ/mol or less.

Wang claims a polymerization process in the presence of a transition complexes (IV) or (VI), wherein the monomer includes one or more of olefin or polar olefin (col. 38, claim 7). Wang further demonstrated the copolymerization of ethylene and hexyl acrylate in Examples 12, 13 and 28 (col. 24, Tables 4 and 5, and col. 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to employ Wang's teaching to conduct the ethylene and acrylate copolymerization in the presence of transition complexes (IV) or (VI) because such is within the scope of Wang's claims and all of the embodiments of Wang's claims are expected to work and in the absence of any showing of criticality and unexpected results.

It is noted that Wang does not expressly teach the coordination energies between the catalyst and ethylene or methyl acrylate. Based on the fact that the Application/Control Number: 09/897,111

Art Unit: 1713

percentages of the acrylate comonomers in the ethylene-acrylate copolymers are in the same range as those of applicants' working examples, a skilled artisan would have expected Wang's catalyst to inherently have a ΔE which satisfies the ΔE limitation of the instant claims because the ΔE limitation of the instant claims is the measurement of the tendency of the copolymerization between the non-polar olefin and polar olefin. Once a product appearing to be substantially identical is found and a 35 USC 102/103 rejection made, the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to show an unobvious difference. In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594. In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324. Applicants have not met their burden to demonstrate an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the products of the prior art examples.

3. Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bansleben et al. (US 6,410,664) and Bansleben et al. (US 6,197,715) independently for the same rationale as shown in previous Office action as the following.

The instant claims are directed to a process for preparation of a polar olefin copolymer comprising copolymerizing a non-polar olefin and a polar olefin in the presence of (A-i) a reaction product of a transition metal compound of M'X_k of Group 4-6 and 11 and a ligand compound of formula (I) and (B) a cocatalyst.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,111

Art Unit: 1713

US 6,410,664 teaches copolymerization of ethylene and functionalized cyclic olefins in the presence of a nickel(II) salicylaldimine complex prepared by reacting a nickel(II) compound and a salicylaldimine ligand (col. 30, lines 24-53 and Table 8).

It is noted that the transition metal the working examples of US 6,410,664 is Ni rather than a metal from Groups 4-6 and 11; however, the transition metals of Group 4 such as Zr, Ti and Hf and Group 6 are expressly taught in col. 4, lines 46-50.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to employ the teaching of 6,410,664 to conduct copolymerization between polar and non-polar olefins in the presence of Group 4 or 6 transition metal containing catalyst since such is taught in the reference and expected to work and in the absence of showing criticality and unexpected result.

Similar rejection can be made over US 6,197,715 (col. 9, line 5 and Example 11 of col. 34).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed January 21, 2005 with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Furthermore, applicants' arguments are not relevant to unamended claim 4 because the reaction product of the transition metal compound of M'X_k of Group 4-6 and 11 and the ligand compound of formula (I) does not exclude the number, "m", of the ligand in the reaction product to be 1. It is also noted that applicants

Art Unit: 1713

is mistaking the "n" of '664 patent with the "m" of the instant claim 1. The rejections is still deemed proper and, thus, maintained.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Cáixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner February 16, 2005